Monge-Ampère gravitation as a Γ-limit of good rate functions

Aymeric Baradat (Joint work with L. Ambrosio and Y. Brenier)

ICJ, Université Lyon 1

The Vlasov-Monge-Ampère system

The VMA system in the space domain \mathbb{T}^d writes for $(t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f(t,x,v) + v \cdot \nabla_x f(t,x,v) - \nabla_x \varphi(t,x) \cdot \nabla_v f(t,x,v) = 0, \\ \det(\mathrm{Id} + D_x^2 \varphi(t,x)) = \int f(t,x,v) \, \mathrm{d}v, \\ f(t,x,v)|_{t=0} = f_0(x,v). \end{cases}$$

where $f \ge 0$ and $\iint f(t, x, v) dx dv = 1$.

The Vlasov-Monge-Ampère system

The VMA system in the space domain \mathbb{T}^d writes for $(t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f(t,x,v) + v \cdot \nabla_x f(t,x,v) - \nabla_x \varphi(t,x) \cdot \nabla_v f(t,x,v) = 0, \\ \det(\mathrm{Id} + D_x^2 \varphi(t,x)) = \int f(t,x,v) \, \mathrm{d}v, \\ f(t,x,v)|_{t=0} = f_0(x,v). \end{cases}$$

where $f \ge 0$ and $\iint f(t, x, v) dx dv = 1$. As

$$\det(\mathrm{Id} + D_x^2 \varphi(t, x)) \approx 1 + \operatorname{tr}(D_x^2 \varphi(t, x)) = 1 + \Delta_x \varphi(t, x),$$

for small variations of density, this is close to

The Vlasov-Monge-Ampère system

The VMA system in the space domain \mathbb{T}^d writes for $(t, x, v) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f(t,x,v) + v \cdot \nabla_x f(t,x,v) - \nabla_x \varphi(t,x) \cdot \nabla_v f(t,x,v) = 0, \\ \det(\mathrm{Id} + D_x^2 \varphi(t,x)) = \int f(t,x,v) \, \mathrm{d}v, \\ f(t,x,v)|_{t=0} = f_0(x,v). \end{cases}$$

where $f \ge 0$ and $\iint f(t, x, v) dx dv = 1$. As

$$\det(\mathrm{Id} + D_x^2\varphi(t,x)) \approx 1 + \mathrm{tr}(D_x^2\varphi(t,x)) = 1 + \Delta_x\varphi(t,x),$$

for small variations of density, this is close to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f(t,x,v) + v \cdot \nabla_x f(t,x,v) - \nabla_x \varphi(t,x) \cdot \nabla_v f(t,x,v) = 0, \\ \Delta_x \varphi(t,x) = \int f(t,x,v) \, \mathrm{d}v - 1, \\ f(t,x,v)|_{t=0} = f_0(x,v). \end{cases}$$

Zeldovich approximation

In the context of the evolution of the density of matter in an Einstein-de Sitter Universe, this change from the Poisson equation to the Monge-Ampère equation is an approximation for which the Zeldovich approximation becomes exact.

$$\left\{egin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{v}\cdot
abla) \mathbf{v} &= -rac{3}{2t}(\mathbf{v}+
abla arphi), \ \partial_t
ho + \operatorname{div}(
ho \mathbf{v}) &= 0, \ 1 + t\Delta arphi &=
ho. \end{aligned}
ight.$$

"Reconstruction of the early Universe"

[Frisch, Matarrese, Mohayaee, Sobolevskii 2002]

[Brenier, Frisch, Hénon, Loeper, Matarrese, Mohayaee, Sobolevskii 2003]

An optimal transport interpretation

The characteristics of the VMA system are the solutions of the ODE

$$\ddot{X}_t = -\nabla_x \varphi(t, X_t),$$

where $T_t: x \mapsto x + \nabla_x \varphi(t, x)$ is the *optimal map* in the quadratic OT problem sending $\rho(t, \cdot) = \int f(t, \cdot, v) dv$ onto the Lebesgue measure.

An optimal transport interpretation

The characteristics of the VMA system are the solutions of the ODE

$$\ddot{X}_t = -\nabla_x \varphi(t, X_t),$$

where $T_t: x \mapsto x + \nabla_x \varphi(t, x)$ is the *optimal map* in the quadratic OT problem sending $\rho(t, \cdot) = \int f(t, \cdot, v) dv$ onto the Lebesgue measure.

Therefore, the whole system can be reformulated as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{X}_t(x,v) = X_t(x,v) - T_t(X_t(x,v)), \\ X_0(x,v) = x, \quad \dot{X}_0(x,v) = v, \\ T_t \text{ sends optimally } X_{t\#}f_0 \text{ onto Leb.} \end{cases}$$

(The phase-space density f is given from X by $f(t, \cdot) = (X_t, \dot{X}_t)_{\#} f_{0.}$)

From now on, we work on $\mathbb{R}^d,$ and we replace Leb by and empirical measure

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{a_i}, \qquad a_1,\ldots,a_N \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

From now on, we work on \mathbb{R}^d , and we replace Leb by and empirical measure

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{a_i}, \qquad a_1,\ldots,a_N \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We are interested in the following dynamical system for N particles x_1, \ldots, x_N

$$\forall i, \quad \ddot{x}_i(t) = x_i(t) - T_t(x_i(t)), \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i(t)} \stackrel{T_t}{\rightsquigarrow} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{a_i}$$

. .

From now on, we work on \mathbb{R}^d , and we replace Leb by and empirical measure

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{a_i}, \qquad a_1,\ldots,a_N \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We are interested in the following dynamical system for N particles x_1, \ldots, x_N

$$\forall i, \quad \ddot{x}_i(t) = x_i(t) - T_t(x_i(t)), \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i(t)} \stackrel{T_t}{\leadsto} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{a_i}$$
$$= x_i(t) - a_{\sigma_t^*(i)}, \quad \text{where} \quad a_{\sigma_t^*(i)} = T_t(x_i(t)).$$

From now on, we work on \mathbb{R}^d , and we replace Leb by and empirical measure

$$rac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{a_i}, \qquad a_1,\ldots,a_N\in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We are interested in the following dynamical system for N particles x_1, \ldots, x_N

$$\forall i, \quad \ddot{x}_i(t) = x_i(t) - T_t(x_i(t)), \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i(t)} \stackrel{T_t}{\leadsto} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{a_i}$$
$$= x_i(t) - a_{\sigma_t^*(i)}, \quad \text{where} \quad a_{\sigma_t^*(i)} = T_t(x_i(t)).$$

. .

. .

We reformulate this as:

$$X_t = (x_1(t), \dots, x_N(t))$$
 and for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N$, $A^{\sigma} = (a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(N)})$,
 $\ddot{X}_t = X_t - A^{\sigma_t^*}$.

From now on, we work on \mathbb{R}^d , and we replace Leb by and empirical measure

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{a_i}, \qquad a_1,\ldots,a_N \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We are interested in the following dynamical system for N particles x_1, \ldots, x_N

$$\forall i, \quad \ddot{x}_i(t) = x_i(t) - T_t(x_i(t)), \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i(t)} \stackrel{T_t}{\leadsto} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{a_i}$$
$$= x_i(t) - a_{\sigma_t^*(i)}, \quad \text{where} \quad a_{\sigma_t^*(i)} = T_t(x_i(t)).$$

. .

We reformulate this as:

$$X_t = (x_1(t), \dots, x_N(t))$$
 and for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N$, $A^{\sigma} = (a_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(N)})$,
 $\ddot{X}_t = X_t - A^{\sigma_t^*}$.

This is well defined only when the Monge problem has a unique sol.

Dealing with singularities: looking for convexity

For $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$, let us call

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(X) &:= -rac{N}{2} \mathcal{W}_2^2 \left(rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{a_i}, rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i}
ight) = -\min_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} rac{\left| X - A^\sigma
ight|^2}{2}, \ f(X) &:= \mathcal{F}(X) + rac{1}{2} (|X|^2 + |\mathcal{A}|^2) = \max_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} A^\sigma \cdot X. \end{aligned}$$

Dealing with singularities: looking for convexity

For $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$, let us call

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(X) &:= -rac{N}{2} \mathcal{W}_2^2 \left(rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{a_i}, rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i}
ight) = -\min_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} rac{|X - A^\sigma|^2}{2}, \ f(X) &:= \mathcal{F}(X) + rac{1}{2} (|X|^2 + |A|^2) = \max_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} A^\sigma \cdot X. \end{aligned}$$

At a point X where the Monge problem has a unique solution given by σ^* ,

$$abla F(X) = -(X - A^{\sigma^*}), \qquad
abla f(X) = A^{\sigma^*}.$$

and our discrete model writes formally

$$\ddot{X}_t = -\nabla F(X_t) = X_t - \nabla f(X_t).$$

Dealing with singularities: looking for convexity

For $X = (x_1, \ldots, x_N) \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$, let us call

$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(X) &:= -rac{N}{2} \mathcal{W}_2^2 \left(rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{a_i}, rac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{x_i}
ight) = -\min_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} rac{|X - A^\sigma|^2}{2}, \ f(X) &:= \mathcal{F}(X) + rac{1}{2} (|X|^2 + |A|^2) = \max_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} A^\sigma \cdot X. \end{aligned}$$

At a point X where the Monge problem has a unique solution given by σ^* ,

$$abla F(X) = -(X - A^{\sigma^*}), \qquad
abla f(X) = A^{\sigma^*}.$$

and our discrete model writes formally

$$\ddot{X}_t = -\nabla F(X_t) = X_t - \nabla f(X_t).$$

But f is convex and F is -1-convex! [Ambrosio, Gangbo '08] restated MAG as

$$\ddot{X}_t \in -\partial F(X_t) \quad ext{or} \quad X_t - \ddot{X}_t \in \partial f(X_t).$$

Our approach leads to a description of the system in the form of a least action principle. Due to our formal ODE, one could expect as an action

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\dot{X}_t|^2 - F(X_t) \right\} \mathrm{d}t.$$

Our approach leads to a description of the system in the form of a least action principle. Due to our formal ODE, one could expect as an action

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\dot{X}_t|^2 - F(X_t) \right\} \mathrm{d}t.$$

Instead, it selects the following very close one

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\dot{X}_t|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |X_t - \overline{\nabla}f(X_t)|^2 \right\} \mathrm{d}t,$$

where $\overline{\nabla} f(X)$ is the vector of smallest length in $\partial f(X)$.

Our approach leads to a description of the system in the form of a least action principle. Due to our formal ODE, one could expect as an action

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\dot{X}_t|^2 - F(X_t) \right\} \mathrm{d}t.$$

Instead, it selects the following very close one

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\dot{X}_t|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |X_t - \overline{\nabla}f(X_t)|^2 \right\} dt,$$

where $\overline{\nabla} f(X)$ is the vector of smallest length in $\partial f(X)$. These actions are linked because for "good" points X,

$$F(X) = -\frac{1}{2}|X - A^{\sigma^*}|^2 = -\frac{1}{2}|X - \nabla f(X)|^2.$$

Our approach leads to a description of the system in the form of a least action principle. Due to our formal ODE, one could expect as an action

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\dot{X}_t|^2 - F(X_t) \right\} \mathrm{d}t.$$

Instead, it selects the following very close one

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\dot{X}_t|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |X_t - \overline{\nabla}f(X_t)|^2 \right\} dt,$$

where $\overline{\nabla} f(X)$ is the vector of smallest length in $\partial f(X)$. These actions are linked because for "good" points X,

$$F(X) = -\frac{1}{2}|X - A^{\sigma^*}|^2 = -\frac{1}{2}|X - \nabla f(X)|^2.$$

But for "bad" points, $\frac{1}{2}|X - \overline{\nabla}f(X)|^2 < -F(X)$, so they are favoured: when d = 1, the model presents sticky collisions! (See [Brenier '10].)

We start with the density $\rho^{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^d)^N$ at time t > 0 of N indistinguishable Brownian particles of diff $\varepsilon > 0$, initially in a_1, \ldots, a_N up to permutation:

$$\rho^{\varepsilon}(t,X) = \frac{1}{N!\sqrt{2\pi\varepsilon t}^{Nd}} \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_N} \exp\left(-\frac{|X-A^{\sigma}|^2}{2\varepsilon t}\right).$$

We start with the density $\rho^{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^d)^N$ at time t > 0 of N indistinguishable Brownian particles of diff $\varepsilon > 0$, initially in a_1, \ldots, a_N up to permutation:

$$\rho^{\varepsilon}(t,X) = \frac{1}{N!\sqrt{2\pi\varepsilon t}^{Nd}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \exp\left(-\frac{|X-A^{\sigma}|^2}{2\varepsilon t}\right).$$

Following the idea of pilot waves by Louis de Broglie in the context of quantum mechanics, we see this density as the solution of the continuity equation

$$\partial_t \rho^{\varepsilon} + \operatorname{div}(\rho^{\varepsilon} v^{\varepsilon}) = 0,$$

$$v^{arepsilon}(t,X) := -rac{arepsilon}{2}
abla \log
ho^{arepsilon}(t,X)$$

We start with the density $\rho^{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^d)^N$ at time t > 0 of N indistinguishable Brownian particles of diff $\varepsilon > 0$, initially in a_1, \ldots, a_N up to permutation:

$$\rho^{\varepsilon}(t,X) = \frac{1}{N!\sqrt{2\pi\varepsilon t}^{Nd}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \exp\left(-\frac{|X-A^{\sigma}|^2}{2\varepsilon t}\right).$$

Following the idea of pilot waves by Louis de Broglie in the context of quantum mechanics, we see this density as the solution of the continuity equation

$$\partial_t \rho^{\varepsilon} + \operatorname{div}(\rho^{\varepsilon} v^{\varepsilon}) = 0,$$
$$v^{\varepsilon}(t, X) := -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \nabla \log \rho^{\varepsilon}(t, X) = \frac{1}{2t} \left(X - \frac{\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} A^{\sigma} \exp\left(\frac{X \cdot A^{\sigma}}{\varepsilon t}\right)}{\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \exp\left(\frac{X \cdot A^{\sigma}}{\varepsilon t}\right)} \right)$$

We start with the density $\rho^{\varepsilon}(t, \cdot)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^d)^N$ at time t > 0 of N indistinguishable Brownian particles of diff $\varepsilon > 0$, initially in a_1, \ldots, a_N up to permutation:

$$\rho^{\varepsilon}(t,X) = \frac{1}{N!\sqrt{2\pi\varepsilon t}^{Nd}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \exp\left(-\frac{|X-A^{\sigma}|^2}{2\varepsilon t}\right).$$

Following the idea of pilot waves by Louis de Broglie in the context of quantum mechanics, we see this density as the solution of the continuity equation

$$\partial_t \rho^{\varepsilon} + \operatorname{div}(\rho^{\varepsilon} v^{\varepsilon}) = 0,$$
$$v^{\varepsilon}(t, X) := -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \nabla \log \rho^{\varepsilon}(t, X) = \frac{1}{2t} \left(X - \frac{\sum\limits_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} A^{\sigma} \exp\left(\frac{X \cdot A^{\sigma}}{\varepsilon t}\right)}{\sum\limits_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \exp\left(\frac{X \cdot A^{\sigma}}{\varepsilon t}\right)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2t} \left(X - \nabla f_{\varepsilon}(t, X) \right), \quad \text{where } f_{\varepsilon}(t, x) := \varepsilon t \log \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \exp \left(\frac{A^{\sigma} \cdot X}{\varepsilon t} \right).$$

Now, the idea is to perturb the characteristic ODE of this continuity equation as

$$\mathrm{d} X_t^{\varepsilon,\eta} = \mathsf{v}^{\varepsilon}(t,X_t^{\varepsilon,\eta})\,\mathrm{d} t + \eta\alpha(t)\,\mathrm{d} B_t,$$

being (B_t) a standard Brownian motion and α a smooth function.

Now, the idea is to perturb the characteristic ODE of this continuity equation as

$$\mathrm{d} X_t^{\varepsilon,\eta} = \mathsf{v}^{\varepsilon}(t,X_t^{\varepsilon,\eta})\,\mathrm{d} t + \eta\alpha(t)\,\mathrm{d} B_t,$$

being (B_t) a standard Brownian motion and α a smooth function.

Now, the spirit of the result is as follows:

When α is well chosen, $\eta \to 0$ and then $\varepsilon \to 0$, up to a change of time, the solutions of this SDE starting from P at time $t_0 > 0$ and which happen to be close to Q for a further time $t_1 > t_0$ are close to minimizers the action previously described.

Now, the idea is to perturb the characteristic ODE of this continuity equation as

$$\mathrm{d} X_t^{\varepsilon,\eta} = \mathsf{v}^{\varepsilon}(t,X_t^{\varepsilon,\eta})\,\mathrm{d} t + \eta\alpha(t)\,\mathrm{d} B_t,$$

being (B_t) a standard Brownian motion and α a smooth function.

Now, the spirit of the result is as follows:

When α is well chosen, $\eta \to 0$ and then $\varepsilon \to 0$, up to a change of time, the solutions of this SDE starting from P at time $t_0 > 0$ and which happen to be close to Q for a further time $t_1 > t_0$ are close to minimizers the action previously described.

From now on, we fix $0 < t_0 < t_1$ and $P \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$, and we call $\mu_{\varepsilon,\eta}$ the law of our SDE starting from P at time t_0 , up to time t_1 . Finally, we choose a final point $Q \in (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$.

Limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$: Freidlin-Wentzell large deviation principle

Theorem

For fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\eta \to 0$, the family of laws $(\mu_{\varepsilon,\eta})$ satisfies the LDP on $C^0([t_0, t_1]; (\mathbb{R}^d)^N)$ with good rate function defined for all $\mathcal{X} = (X_t)_{t \in [t_0, t_1]}$ by:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{1}{\alpha(t)^2} |\dot{X}_t - v_{\varepsilon}(t, X_t)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t, & \text{if } \mathcal{X} \in H^1([t_0, t_1]; (\mathbb{R}^d)^N) \\ & \text{and } X_{t_0} = P, \\ +\infty, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$: Freidlin-Wentzell large deviation principle

Theorem

For fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\eta \to 0$, the family of laws $(\mu_{\varepsilon,\eta})$ satisfies the LDP on $C^0([t_0, t_1]; (\mathbb{R}^d)^N)$ with good rate function defined for all $\mathcal{X} = (X_t)_{t \in [t_0, t_1]}$ by:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{1}{\alpha(t)^2} |\dot{X}_t - v_{\varepsilon}(t, X_t)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t, & \text{if } \mathcal{X} \in H^1([t_0, t_1]; (\mathbb{R}^d)^N) \\ & \text{and } X_{t_0} = P, \\ +\infty, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, the family of conditioned laws $(\mu_{\varepsilon,\eta}(\cdot|X_{t_1} = Q))$ admit limit points, and these limit points only charge minimizers of the action

$$\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}: \mathcal{X} \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{1}{\alpha(t)^2} |\dot{X}_t - v_{\varepsilon}(t, X_t)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t, & \text{if } \mathcal{X} \in H^1([t_0, t_1]; (\mathbb{R}^d)^N) \\ X_{t_0} = P \text{ and } X_{t_1} = Q, \\ +\infty, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$: Γ -convergence

Theorem

As $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have the family of actions (L_{ε}) Γ -converges towards

$$L: \mathcal{X} \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{1}{\alpha(t)^2} \left| \dot{X}_t - \frac{X_t - \overline{\nabla}f(X_t)}{2t} \right|^2 \mathrm{d}t, & \text{if } \mathcal{X} \in H^1([t_0, t_1]; (\mathbb{R}^d)^N), \\ X_{t_0} = P \text{ and } X_{t_1} = Q, \\ +\infty, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$: Γ -convergence

Theorem

As $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have the family of actions (L_{ε}) Γ -converges towards

$$L: \mathcal{X} \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \frac{1}{\alpha(t)^2} \left| \dot{X}_t - \frac{X_t - \overline{\nabla}f(X_t)}{2t} \right|^2 \mathsf{d}t, & \text{if } \mathcal{X} \in H^1([t_0, t_1]; (\mathbb{R}^d)^N), \\ X_{t_0} = P \text{ and } X_{t_1} = Q, \\ +\infty, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

"Proof".

We have

$$v^{\varepsilon}(t,X) = rac{1}{2t} \left(X -
abla f_{\varepsilon}(t,X)
ight) \quad ext{where} \quad f_{\varepsilon}(t,X) = arepsilon t \log \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_N} \exp \left(rac{A^{\sigma} \cdot X}{arepsilon t}
ight).$$

But for all t > 0,

$$f_{\varepsilon}(t,X) \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \to 0]{} f(X).$$

See the last slide for the reason we find the extended gradient $\overline{\nabla}$.

Change of time, choice of α and conclusion

Given an \mathcal{X} , we define $\mathcal{Y}: \theta \mapsto Y_{\theta} := X_{\exp(2\theta)}, \ \theta_0 := \frac{\log t_0}{2} \text{ and } \theta_1 := \frac{\log t_1}{2}.$ We find that

$$L(\mathcal{X}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} \frac{1}{2 \exp(2\theta) \alpha(\exp(2\theta))^2} |\dot{Y}_{\theta} - (Y_{\theta} - \overline{\nabla}f(Y_{\theta}))|^2 d\theta.$$

Change of time, choice of α and conclusion

Given an \mathcal{X} , we define $\mathcal{Y}: \theta \mapsto Y_{\theta} := X_{\exp(2\theta)}, \ \theta_0 := \frac{\log t_0}{2} \text{ and } \theta_1 := \frac{\log t_1}{2}$. We find that

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}) = rac{1}{2} \int_{ heta_0}^{ heta_1} rac{1}{2 \exp(2 heta) lpha (\exp(2 heta))^2} |\dot{Y}_ heta - (Y_ heta - \overline{
abla} f(Y_ heta))|^2 \, \mathrm{d} heta.$$

Therefore, choosing $\alpha(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}}$, we find that \mathcal{X} minimizes L if and only if \mathcal{Y} minimizes

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1}|\dot{Y}_{\theta}-(Y_{\theta}-\overline{\nabla}f(Y_{\theta}))|^2\,\mathrm{d}\theta.$$

Change of time, choice of α and conclusion

Given an \mathcal{X} , we define $\mathcal{Y}: \theta \mapsto Y_{\theta} := X_{\exp(2\theta)}, \ \theta_0 := \frac{\log t_0}{2} \text{ and } \theta_1 := \frac{\log t_1}{2}$. We find that

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}) = rac{1}{2} \int_{ heta_0}^{ heta_1} rac{1}{2 \exp(2 heta) lpha(\exp(2 heta))^2} |\dot{Y}_ heta - (Y_ heta - \overline{
abla} f(Y_ heta))|^2 \, \mathrm{d} heta.$$

Therefore, choosing $\alpha(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}}$, we find that \mathcal{X} minimizes L if and only if \mathcal{Y} minimizes

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1}|\dot{Y}_{\theta}-(Y_{\theta}-\overline{\nabla}f(Y_{\theta}))|^2\,\mathrm{d}\theta.$$

But this action is equal to

$$\int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_1} \frac{|\dot{Y}_{\theta}|^2}{2} + \frac{|Y_{\theta} - \overline{\nabla}f(Y_{\theta}))|^2}{2} \, \mathsf{d}\theta.$$

up to a term only depending on the endpoints P and Q, so we are done.

Why the extended gradient?

Up to some manipulation, we use this result, stated here in a Hilbert space.

Theorem (Ambrosio, Baradat, Brenier '21)

Let *H* be a Hilbert space. If (f_n) is a family of uniformly λ -convex function on *H*, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and if $f_n \to f$ in the sense of Mosco convergence. If finally

$$\sup_{n} |\overline{\nabla} f_n(x_i)| < +\infty, \qquad i = 0, 1.$$

Then, calling EP the endpoint constraints corresponding to $x_0, x_1 \in H$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1\left\{|\dot{X}_t|^2+|\overline{\nabla}f_n(X_t)|^2\right\}\mathrm{d}t+\mathrm{EP}\underset{n\to+\infty}{\overset{\Gamma}{\longrightarrow}}\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1\left\{|\dot{X}_t|^2+|\overline{\nabla}f(X_t)|^2\right\}\mathrm{d}t+\mathrm{EP}$$

in the topology of $C^0([0,1]; H)$.

See also [Monsaingeon, Tamanini, Vorotnikov '20] for similar results in the Wasserstein space.

Why the extended gradient?

Up to some manipulation, we use this result, stated here in a Hilbert space.

Theorem (Ambrosio, Baradat, Brenier '21)

Let *H* be a Hilbert space. If (f_n) is a family of uniformly λ -convex function on *H*, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and if $f_n \to f$ in the sense of Mosco convergence. If finally

$$\sup_{n} |\overline{\nabla} f_n(x_i)| < +\infty, \qquad i = 0, 1.$$

Then, calling EP the endpoint constraints corresponding to $x_0, x_1 \in H$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1\left\{|\dot{X}_t|^2+|\overline{\nabla}f_n(X_t)|^2\right\}\mathrm{d}t+\mathrm{EP}\underset{n\to+\infty}{\overset{\Gamma}{\longrightarrow}}\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1\left\{|\dot{X}_t|^2+|\overline{\nabla}f(X_t)|^2\right\}\mathrm{d}t+\mathrm{EP}$$

in the topology of $C^0([0,1]; H)$.

See also [Monsaingeon, Tamanini, Vorotnikov '20] for similar results in the Wasserstein space.

Thank you!